PARTICIPANT SATISFACTION SCORECARD

Please circle the number that best matches your level of agreement with each statement. Also check whether you think that aspect of the process is important or unimportant. 1=completely disagree, 2=strongly disagree, 3=disagree, 4=indifferent, 5=agree, 6=strongly agree, 7=completely agree.

THE OUTCOME	<u>Important</u>	<u>Unimportant</u>	Circle One
An agreement was reached. The agreement was ratified by everyone needed to implement it.	<u> </u>		1 2 3 4 5 6 7 1 2 3 4 5 6 7
The agreement is adaptive to new information, interests, and ideas. The underlying issue was resolved; the problem will not likely recur.			1 2 3 4 5 6 7 1 2 3 4 5 6 7
I trust the people who will implement the agreement. The outcome satisfies my basic interest.			1 2 3 4 5 6 7 1 2 3 4 5 6 7
The overall situation is better than before. The outcome is better than what I could get from another process.			1 2 3 4 5 6 7 1 2 3 4 5 6 7
WORKING RELATIONSHIPS —			
The process helped build trust among participants. The process improved communication among participants.			1 2 3 4 5 6 7 1 2 3 4 5 6 7
I gained insights about the issues and others' views and values. I would negotiate other issues with the same participants.	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 1 2 3 4 5 6 7
The process improved my ability to resolve public issues.			1 2 3 4 5 6 7
QUALITY OF THE PROCESS			
Everyone who wanted to participate had a fair chance to do so. Everyone's concerns were respected.			1 2 3 4 5 6 7 1 2 3 4 5 6 7
Everyone had access to the information needed to make good decisions. Information we used was relevant and up to date.			1 2 3 4 5 6 7 1 2 3 4 5 6 7
The process fostered information gathering and learning as a group. The group considered different options for resolving the issue.			1 2 3 4 5 6 7 1 2 3 4 5 6 7
People at the table were accountable to their constituencies. There was a way to raise due process complaints during negotiation.			1 2 3 4 5 6 7 1 2 3 4 5 6 7
Gains and losses were fairly distributed among all participants. The public was able to review and comment on the process and outcome.			1 2 3 4 5 6 7 1 2 3 4 5 6 7
The process was efficient. It was time and money well spent.			1 2 3 4 5 6 7

PROJECT EVALUATION FORM

Please help us improve the services we offer. As participants, your comments and suggestions are the most important measure of our performance. Please complete both sides of this form and return it to us at the Montana Consensus Council, P.O. Box 200801, Helena, MT 59620-0801. If you have questions, call us at 406-444-2075.

1.	What was the name of the project you participated in?				
2.	Was the facilitator helpful? If so, how? If not, please explain.				
3.	Did the facilitator fulfill his or her responsibilities? (Check all that apply)				
	impartiality process design staying on schedule encouraging participation coordinating meeting logistics documenting agreement	 confidentiality enforcement of ground rules keeping group focused promoting civil discussion helping group invent solutions and build agreement implementing agreement 			
4.	Did you encounter any specific problems during the process? (Check all that apply)				
	Unrealistic expectations: No compelling reason to reach agreement: Stakeholder groups: Available information: Deadlines: Other (please explain):	mine me too many too much too soon	others too few too little		
5.	How could this process be improved?				
6.	What process would you have used to ad collaborative process?	dress this situation	if you had not p	articipated in this	
	LitigationLob Proposed legislationCiti	_Direct discussion with decision maker(s) _Lobbying _Citizen initiative _Other (please describe)			
7.	compare this collaborative process to your next best option (from #6 above). Which of the two would nost likely:				
	Cost less? Take less time? Improve communication among participants Improve trust among participants? Produce a more effective, lasting outcome?	collabo collabo s? collabo collabo collabo	ration ration ration	<pre>other optionother optionother optionother optionother option</pre>	

- Please complete both sides of this form -

Would you recommend a collaborative process to address similar issues?